

**NOV 21 2005**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

JOSE LUIS GUZMAN-GUZMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-74835

Agency No. A77-814-730

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 8, 2005<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Jose Luis Guzman-Guzman, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) summarily

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") denial of his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's determination that Guzman-Guzman failed to establish that either his lawful permanent resident parents or his United States citizen children would suffer exceptional and extremely unusual hardship if he were removed to Mexico. *See Romero-Torres v Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003).

The voluntary departure period was stayed, and that stay will expire upon issuance of the mandate. *See Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004).

The Court hereby grants Rosalyn Reinholdt's motion to withdraw as counsel of record and orders the Clerk to serve a copy of the memorandum disposition on counsel, as well as on Guzman-Guzman at 9833 A Street, Oakland, California 94603. We also direct the Clerk to amend the docket to reflect that Guzman-Guzman is now proceeding *pro se*.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**